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In pursuit of the mobile industry’s goal of Intelligently Connecting Everyone and Everything to a #BetterFuture, the GSMA is working closely with its members to improve the lives of billions of people and the environment in which they live. It is doing this in three ways: working with mobile operators and their partners to pursue the UN Sustainable Development Goals, equipping CEOs and their teams with the tools and skills they need to pursue an agenda that can deliver a sustainable future for the planet and people, and advancing sustainable and responsible business models across the mobile industry.

This guide supports the GSMA’s goal to advance responsible business models across the industry. It is aimed at Mobile Network Operators (MNOs) that are in the earlier stages of adopting and integrating responsible business practices.

The guide introduces the key elements of Operating Responsibly, offering ideas on how to begin approaching them and providing links to further information. It also highlights areas of good practice from across the sector with case studies from a variety of operators.
In line with the mobile industry’s goal of Intelligently Connecting Everyone and Everything to a #BetterFuture, it is important for MNOs to recognise their role in sustainable development. Ultimately, it is about finding ways to integrate the pressing needs of the planet and advance equality into the way they do business every day, while maintaining robust profitability. Operating responsibly — understanding and responding to the social, environmental and ethical issues that are relevant to an operator and its stakeholders — is one way to address these challenges.

To help the industry move towards a more sustainable future, the GSMA has developed a Sustainability Assessment Framework to better understand the landscape of operator efforts in social and environmental sustainability. Designed in collaboration with Yale University, the Framework is intended to illuminate sustainability efforts across the mobile industry in a comparable way. It is structured around three strategic pillars that support a sustainable mobile communications industry, as shown in Figure 1. This good practice guide focuses on the first of these pillars: the need for mobile operators to demonstrate that they are Operating Responsibly.

**Figure 1: The GSMA Sustainability Assessment Framework**

- **Operating responsibly**
  - Key elements
    - Customer and Society
    - Employee and Supply Chain
    - Environment
  - This pillar focuses on an operator’s systems to improve performance on sustainability issues within its own operations and supply chain.

- **Delivering value for society**
  - Key elements
    - Connecting Business and Social Outcomes
    - Operating Context and Regionality
    - Global Goals
  - Using core business activities and technology to create shared value with society and deliver positive impacts that contribute to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

- **Values-led leadership**
  - Key elements
    - Multi-Stakeholder Leadership
    - Industry Leadership
    - Incentive Alignment Leadership
  - How operators are leading transformational change by aligning sustainability with their company’s strategic goals and through collaboration within and beyond the sector.

**Further Information:**

Full details of the Sustainability Assessment Framework, including results of the first assessment of mobile operators against the framework, can be found at

The GSMA and the SDGs

What are the SDGs?

The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), otherwise known as the Global Goals, are a universal call to action to end poverty, protect the planet and ensure that all people enjoy peace and prosperity. The SDGs came into effect in January 2016 as part of the UN’s 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

The SDGs promote a spirit of partnership between governments, businesses, civil society and the general public to deliver the Goals. They tackle the root causes of poverty and unite everyone to make a positive change for both people and planet. Together the 17 Goals provide a universally agreed definition of a better future.

SECTOR COMMITMENT

Due to the close connection between the aims of the SDGs and the mobile industry’s goal of Intelligently Connecting Everyone and Everything to a Better Future, in 2016 the mobile industry became the first sector to commit as a whole to the SDGs.

With its unprecedented scale and growing impact on daily lives mobile is a powerful tool for achieving the SDGs, helping to reduce poverty, improve healthcare and education and drive sustainable economic growth.

"The 2030 deadline to meet the SDGs may seem a distant horizon today, but we cannot afford any delay in addressing the monumental issues facing our world — poverty, climate change, conflict, inequality and so many others."

Mats Granryd, Director General, GSMA
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MOBILE’S CONTRIBUTION TO THE SDGS

Each year since the mobile industry committed to the SDGs, the GSMA has analysed the sector’s contribution to the Goals in the Mobile Industry Impact Report. The 2020 Analysis shows again that the mobile industry’s impact has increased across all 17 SDGs since 2015. Figure 3 shows the areas where the industry has had the biggest impact to date and the areas where positive impact has accelerated fastest since 2015.

**Figure 3: The mobile industry’s contribution to the SDGs**

**IMPACT**

**Highest SDG Scores**
- **SDG 9**: Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure
- **SDG 4**: Reduced Inequalities
- **SDG 13**: Climate Action

**Most Improved SDG Scores**
- **SDG 13**: Climate Action
- **SDG 11**: Responsible Consumption and Production
- **SDG 3**: Good Health and Well-Being

**FURTHER INFORMATION:**

Full details of the mobile sector’s contribution to the SDGs, including the impact reports from the last three years, are available at:

Mobile operators worldwide have made significant progress in aligning their activities with the SDGs. Safaricom provides a particularly strong example of a mobile operator embracing the SDGs and incorporating the Goals into its company purpose. Safaricom devised a phased approach to integrating the SDGs into its operations.

Safaricom has also released an SDG purpose statement: “We commit to deliver connectivity and innovative products and services (SDG 9) that will provide unmatched solutions to meet the needs of Kenyans by enabling access (SDG 10) through our technologies and partners (SDG 17) and by exploring opportunities in Health (SDG 3), Education (SDG 4) and Energy (SDG 7). We will do so by managing our operations responsibly (SDG 12) and ethically (SDG 16). This will stimulate growth and generate value for our company, society and economy (SDG 8).”

Significantly, from 2019, employee performance objectives were aligned to the nine SDGs supported by Safaricom. In this way the whole organisation is working in the same direction to help contribute to achieving the goals.”

FURTHER INFORMATION:
For more information see:
https://www.safaricom.co.ke/sustainability-report_2020/
SECTION 1

SETTING THE SCENE
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In simple terms, Operating Responsibly is not just about doing the right thing for society or the environment — it is also the smart thing to do. Being responsible and accountable for environmental and social performance makes business sense in today’s commercial environment.

Understanding and responding to global trends and working to meet the expectations of consumers and other stakeholders can help manage risks and create opportunities in your business. These may be associated with products or services, day-to-day operations, or external matters, such as in the supply chain.
Setting the Scene

Business case for Operating Responsibly

A number of factors support the business case for Operating Responsibly.

Profit

Research shows a direct correlation between responsible business practice and positive financial performance. A 2015 study\(^1\) reviewed over 2,000 academic papers that have researched the link between responsibility and profit. Almost half of these showed a positive correlation and most of the remainder showed mixed or neutral results. A 2019 Harvard University study\(^2\) reported that companies improving their performance on important social and environmental issues outperform competitors with declining performance on similar issues.

Access to Capital

The rise of Socially Responsible Investment (SRI) means that companies Operating Responsibly can gain access to capital that others cannot. At the start of 2018, the Global Sustainable Investment Alliance (GSIA) reported that almost USD 31 trillion of assets were managed under responsible investment strategies globally\(^3\). This is an increase of 34 per cent since 2016. Clearly, sustainable investing is a major and growing force across global financial markets. Clearly, sustainable investing is a major and growing force across global financial markets.

Lower Operating Costs and Greater Efficiency

Acting responsibly does not necessarily increase operating costs. Research has shown that initiatives aimed at improving staff well-being, reducing workplace stress and improved flexibility can reduce sick leave and employee absenteeism.\(^4\) Similarly, environmental initiatives can deliver cost savings, for example, through less waste or lower energy bills.

Enhanced Reputation

It is often said that trust is ‘hard won and easily lost’ and currently trust in business around the world remains low. Edelman’s 2021 Trust Barometer\(^5\) showed trust in business among the general population to be 61 percent globally. But companies that clearly express their social purpose and articulate how they create value beyond profit often benefit from a more authentic connection with consumers, and this can help in building and maintaining trust.

Edelman’s 2021 Research also showed that 86 per cent of people expect CEOs to take a leadership position on at least one of the pandemic, job automation, societal issues or local community issues. This shows a strong desire for ‘purpose-driven leadership’ and companies that do this well — such as Unilever — have seen a benefit in terms of their reputation.

$31 trillion of assets managed under responsible investment strategies

4. https://www.iosh.co.uk/workingwell
Consumers say they want to know that the products they buy ‘do no harm’ and were produced in a responsible way. Cone Communications’ 2017 global survey\(^6\) revealed that 87 per cent of consumers will purchase a product because a company supports an issue they care about. Similarly, 76 per cent will refuse to purchase if a company supports an issue contrary to their beliefs.

Greater productivity

Companies that invest in developing responsible practices across their business and supply chain — such as improving working conditions or lessening environmental impacts — achieve benefits through improved productivity.\(^7\) Enterprise software company, SAP, recently reported, “By providing the opportunity for employees to do meaningful work, we have seen higher levels of engagement and productivity.”\(^8\)

Improved recruitment and talent retention

In an increasingly competitive landscape for attracting and retaining talented employees, a company’s approach to Operating Responsibly can make a significant difference. Many employees do not want to work for a company that runs contrary to their own values and beliefs and many are attracted to those that are seen to be responsible or ethical. The Cone Communications Millennial Employee Study found that 64% of Millennials will not take a job if their employer does not have a strong CSR policy, and 83% would be more loyal to a company that helps them contribute to social and environmental issues.\(^9\)

Improved risk management

A better understanding of stakeholder concerns about how your business operates helps to reduce exposure to risks, such as:

- Reputational risk from shifts in consumer expectations, such as the controversy surrounding Facebook and privacy in 2018;
- Financial risk, such as the huge costs borne by BP since the Deepwater Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico in 2010; and
- Legal risk, such as failing to anticipate new legislation, for example, in the EU through the European Green Deal.

New commercial opportunities

Responsible business practices can help to build trust and improve dialogue with stakeholders. A better connection with stakeholders can provide insight into society’s expectations of business and this enhanced understanding can feed into innovation and commercial processes.

The mobile sector is a perfect example of an industry with the potential to develop new services that offer economic development benefits and help to alleviate poverty, such as financial services, energy, gender equality and other areas. These innovations have already had an impact on 30 million lives across 49 countries,\(^10\) while creating significant new revenue streams.

---

\(^6\) [http://www.conecomm.com/research-blog/2017-csr-study](http://www.conecomm.com/research-blog/2017-csr-study)

\(^7\) [https://www.bsr.org/reports/BSR_Maximizing_Benefits_From_A_Sustainable_Supply_Chain.pdf](https://www.bsr.org/reports/BSR_Maximizing_Benefits_From_A_Sustainable_Supply_Chain.pdf)


\(^10\) [https://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/](https://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/)
The elements of Operating Responsibly

Any company that wants to operate responsibly needs to consider five essential building blocks, which are summarised below and covered in detail throughout this report.

1. STRATEGY
   A responsibility strategy is the starting point for Operating Responsibly. It provides the organising framework for managing issues that concern external stakeholders and are important to the business. To be effective, you need to know which issues are the most important, or material, so that resources can be prioritised and the issues can be included in high-level planning and decision making.

   (Read more on page 14.)

2. STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT
   Engaging with internal and external stakeholders to understand their concerns is central to Operating Responsibly. Engagement and dialogue help to identify the issues that are important and also show how an organisation is responding and managing its impacts.

   (Read more on page 22.)

3. GOVERNANCE
   Governance is how material issues are considered and managed at board and executive levels in a company. It is the process for establishing control and accountability for Operating Responsibly. For example, by establishing and monitoring relevant policies and ensuring board buy-in and oversight for Operating Responsibly.

   (Read more on page 30.)
An effective approach to Operating Responsibly requires an ongoing focus on all five elements identified in Figure 4. Like the ‘Plan-Do-Check-Act’ approach that underpins any quality or management system, you need to regularly review whether your strategy is fit for purpose and amend the other aspects of your Operating Responsibly programme accordingly.

Stakeholder engagement should always remain at the heart of Operating Responsibly, to ensure that your approach properly reflects society’s expectations of a responsible business.

**PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT**

This is about turning strategy and policies into meaningful action and incorporating it into management and reporting processes. Performance management allows progress to be reviewed and success to be measured.

*(Read more on page 38.)*

**REPORTING**

Responsible businesses are committed to transparency and regularly report their performance to stakeholders. This will include an element of independent checking, or assurance, to provide confidence that reporting is accurate and covers the right topics.

*(Read more on page 48.)*
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The main challenges

Although all companies deal with challenges that are unique to their operating environment and the specific priorities of their stakeholders, there are a number of issues that are common to the majority of mobile operators. Based on GSMA research, the following responsibility issues are widely referenced as high priority by mobile operators. While a list of generic issues can never be exhaustive, or represent the diversity of the mobile sector, it does provide a guide for the types of economic, environmental and social issues faced by our sector.

Table 1: Responsibility issues common to the mobile sector.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Customer service                | Identifying and meeting the needs of customers.  
|                                 | Key aspects include customer satisfaction, company reputation, network coverage and stability, handling of customer complaints, incorporating customer feedback into innovation and company practices.                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Digital Inclusion               | Ensuring products and services are accessible to all members of society including the under-served.  
|                                 | Examples include adapted price plans, low-cost handsets, training and awareness-raising to support customers with fewer digital skills and investment in infrastructure in remote, dangerous or hard-to-reach areas.                                                                                                                       |
| Business Ethics                 | Policies, systems and controls in place to guide employee conduct.  
|                                 | Covering issues such as anti-bribery and corruption, corporate governance, regulatory compliance and political and social advocacy.                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Privacy and Cyber Security      | Assuring customer data protection and privacy across services and operations; identifying risks relating to collection, retention and use of sensitive, confidential and/or proprietary customer or user data.  
|                                 | This includes undertaking innovations and collaborations that entail data usage and sharing. Examples include applying privacy and security by design and accountability principles, undertaking impact and risk assessments, testing of security systems and transparency on government information requests. |
| Freedom of Expression           | Respecting and protecting customers lawful right to freely access and disseminate content of their choosing in a manner that complies with the law.  
|                                 | Measures which allow citizens to increase their knowledge and understanding and encourage greater institutional openness and transparency are central to the wider promotion and protection of human rights.                                                                                               |
| Child Online Safety             | Protecting the rights of children from threats and exploitation online, an aspect of human rights.  
<p>|                                 | Examples include tailored products such as handsets or services with limited functions, filtering apps and providing child, parents and caregivers with education and awareness.                                                                                                                             |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tax Transparency</td>
<td>Disclosure of tax policy and strategy. Disclosure should include country level reporting of business activities, revenues, profit and tax.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile communications and health</td>
<td>Complying with electromagnetic fields and health-related policies based on established science. How risks are identified and managed, stakeholder concerns addressed, and messages communicated on the impact mobile masts and mobile devices have on human health.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Employer</td>
<td>Protecting the rights of employees and enabling their development. Including specific reference to modern slavery, labour rights, and creating opportunities for employees to realise their potential, provide training and development, manage and retain talent, offer fair remuneration, and be responsive to changing working conditions created by digitalisation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Safety</td>
<td>Caring for employees and contractors to prevent accident or injury in the workplace. Examples include clear H&amp;S Policy and management framework, monitoring and public reporting of H&amp;S metrics in a way that enables comparison of performance over time, channels for reporting H&amp;S concerns, and programmes in support of employee &amp; contractor health and safety.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Diversity</td>
<td>Measuring and promoting workforce diversity and preventing discrimination. Examples include preventing discrimination in promotion, hiring, training and compensation based on age, gender, disability, religious persuasion, sexuality, race or other characteristics beyond the ability to conduct work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Sourcing</td>
<td>Setting and applying standards for ethical, environmental, social, human rights and governance by suppliers. Holding suppliers accountable, through policy, engagement, supplier due diligence and audits. Supply chain human rights issues include working hours, modern slavery, health and safety, child labour, sexual harassment, freedom of association, collective bargaining and ensuring minerals are not sourced from conflict zones.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplier Capacity Development</td>
<td>Developing management systems, initiatives or programmes to increase the professional capacities of suppliers. Includes management systems, initiatives, or programs to increase the professional capacities of suppliers including efforts to increase capacity for EHS performance as well as product innovation, diversification and competitiveness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste and e-waste</td>
<td>Managing waste, e-waste and hazardous waste from production or use of products and waste returned by customers. Includes efforts to circularize materials used in production and packaging.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Impact</td>
<td>Identifying and reducing the contribution to climate change. Primarily through energy efficiency, low-carbon energy generation, more sustainable transportation, supplier and customer engagement, and investment in carbon credits. The GSMA advises operators to set Greenhouse Gas emissions reduction targets in line with science-based impact scenarios.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate-related Risks</td>
<td>Managing and disclosing risks presented by climate change. Disclosure of material risks arising from climate change under differing future climate scenarios, in alignment with TFCD recommendations, includes resilience of assets to extreme weather.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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SECTION 2

STRATEGY
How should responsible mobile operators guide their approach to Operating Responsibly?

**What do we mean?**

It is essential that your approach to Operating Responsibly is properly documented with a strategy that sets out broad objectives and how they will be achieved. If the business case (see Section 1) outlines why an organisation should Operate Responsibly, the strategy outlines what it is going to do and how it’s going to do it.

To build a successful approach to Operating Responsibly it is important that the key aims and objectives are clearly captured in a strategy document that sets out the ‘why, what, where, when, who, and how’ of your approach. The strategy should explain which social, environmental and ethical issues have been prioritised for action — and why — in the context of your business environment and the risks and opportunities facing your organisation.

To be effective, the strategy should detail how Operating Responsibly integrates with, and supports, your core business strategy and who is responsible for delivering it. Developing a clear ambition is important, whether the overall aim is to reduce negative impacts and ‘do no harm’ or to strive for sector leadership. Either way, these decisions will influence how far and how fast the strategy will push your business forward.
Leadership

It is often said that company leadership and the ‘tone from the top’ is just as important as what is written down in company literature. It is essential that the strategy is actively supported and communicated by company leaders and top management. If your strategy runs counter to the prevailing corporate culture, it is unlikely to succeed.

The Right Skills

Companies starting the journey towards Operating Responsibly should not expect organisation-wide understanding immediately. The strategy should cover how to raise awareness and allow for relevant training where required. Similarly, if all the expertise for managing social, environmental and ethical issues is held in one department, the strategy should identify the need to expand specialist skills across the organisation.

Your strategic objectives may require you to develop new ways of working or to invest in new equipment and skills. So, the strategy must be linked clearly to your corporate financial cycle to ensure that the right resources are budgeted for.

Communication

Communicating externally is important and some companies communicate widely on responsibility issues to influence customer perception and their wider reputation. Ideally, you should communicate your approach and performance against the aims of your strategy regularly.
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Identifying what’s important

It is important to have a strong process for determining the priority issues and actions to include in the strategy. Many people refer to the concept of ‘materiality’ when assessing the most important responsibility issues for your company and stakeholders.

In a broader, mainly financial business context, an issue or risk is considered material if omitting relevant information about it could influence the decisions of a reasonable investor. For Operating Responsibly, materiality has a wider definition. Many early sustainability reports were very long, covering a multitude of topics without clearly communicating how significant they were to the company or stakeholders. This led to the idea that only high-priority issues should be included in a company’s reporting.

As a result, it has become common practice for companies to present priority responsibility issues in the form of a ‘materiality matrix’. This usually takes the form of a two-dimensional matrix or graph that maps the priority of issues to stakeholders on one axis and the potential business impact of the issues on the other. The most material issues are those where high stakeholder interest coincides with high potential for an issue to influence a business meeting its core objectives.

Below is an example of a materiality matrix showing two axes, one for the level of stakeholder interest in issues and one for the importance of the issues to the business:

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Importance to external stakeholders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGH-PRIORITY ISSUES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDIUM-PRIORITY ISSUES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
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ASSESSING MATERIALITY

Unfortunately there is no single, widely accepted approach to materiality assessments that is adopted by all responsible companies. It is important, therefore, to explain how you have identified and prioritised your material issues. For example: which internal and external stakeholders were involved and how they were engaged; what research was used or conducted; how the output from the research was used; and who was involved in the final analysis to prioritise the issues. It is useful to be clear about when the materiality assessment was done and how regularly it will be reviewed.

There is a growing recognition of the need to better appreciate both investor and wider stakeholder interests, through what is termed the ‘Double Materiality’ principle - for example, through the EU Non-financial Reporting Directive.

Although stakeholder input is central to determining the priority issues for a strategy, many companies also take account of a number of other factors in determining their material issues, for example:

- Benchmarking what issues have been prioritised and reported by sector peers;
- Considering reputable frameworks or initiatives that are guiding international action on sustainability issues, such as the SDGs or UN Global Compact; and
- Expert opinion or approaches on global priorities, such as setting science-based targets for climate action.
Good practice and beyond

ALIGNMENT WITH VOLUNTARY FRAMEWORKS

Although the specifics of any company’s strategy will be unique, it is good practice to work with widely accepted international frameworks or guidelines that address social, environmental or ethical issues. Not only will this strengthen the credibility of the strategy, it will also ensure the approach is aligned with, and benefits from, generally accepted principles and up-to-date thinking on the issues that matter most to your business.

In 2016, the mobile industry at large committed to support the SDGs, recognising it as a framework to deliver socio-economic impact in societies (see page 3).

The following list introduces some of the main frameworks that have been developed and are relevant to any business. The list is not exhaustive.

UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights


International Labour Organisation’s Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work


OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises

The OECD Guidelines provide recommendations for multinational enterprises (MNEs) that aim to promote positive contributions to economic, environmental and social progress worldwide. They provide non-binding principles and standards for responsible business conduct in a global context consistent with applicable laws and internationally recognised standards. http://mneguidelines.oecd.org

UN Global Compact

The most widely subscribed corporate sustainability initiative in the world, the Global Compact consists of 10 principles calling on companies to align their strategies and operations with universal principles on human rights, labour, environment and anti-corruption, and take actions that advance societal goals. https://www.unglobalcompact.org

UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Launched in 2015, the SDGs, also known as the Global Goals, aim to end poverty, protect the planet and ensure prosperity for all as part of a new sustainable development agenda. Each goal has specific targets to be achieved over the next 15 years. The SDGs call for action by all: governments, the private sector, civil society and individuals. https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/

It is good practice to work with widely accepted international frameworks or guidelines that address social, environmental or ethical issues.
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Steps to developing a strategy for Operating Responsibly

1. **Engage with internal and external stakeholders**
2. **Research and develop business case**
3. **Research sector/peer companies**
4. **Identify and prioritise material issues**
5. **Conduct gap analysis on existing approach and management of issues**
6. **Connect to core business strategy**
7. **Secure budget and resources**
8. **Set broad strategy objectives and timescale**
9. **Align with voluntary frameworks, e.g. SDGs**
10. **Develop policies and governance structures (see Section 4, p. 30)**
11. **Assign responsibility internally**
12. **Define success with key performance indicators and targets**
13. **Review and assess progress regularly**
14. **Communicate strategic objectives and progress internally and externally**

---

**STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT**
(see Section 3, p. 22)

**STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT**
(see Section 2, p. 14)

**PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT**
(see Section 5, p. 38)

**REPORTING**
(see Section 6, p. 48)
strategy

“Drawing on the support of the Responsible Business Panel and based on internally developed methodology we updated the materiality exercise performed last year. The methodology delivers a materiality matrix that conforms to the indications given in the “G4 Guidelines” version of the GRI (Global Reporting Initiative) standard for non-financial reporting. According to these guidelines, the materiality analysis must consider aspects that reflect the Company’s impact on economic, environmental and social issues and how these influence decision-making with respect to Telefónica.

The matrix produced using this methodology enables us to perform an analysis from a global perspective as well as at a local level and by stakeholder.

73 dimensions were identified, which were consolidated into 20 topics and 7 material issues. This facilitated our in-depth review of the materiality process, enabling gains in understanding and granularity of the material aspects identified.

**Materiality matrices**

The process followed delivers a materiality matrix with a global perspective, but in which it is also possible to determine the assessment given by each of the different stakeholder categories in the different countries in which we operate.

Telefónica also produced a specific materiality matrix looking at the issues through the lens of stakeholders representing the interests of Society.

In the aftermath of the pandemic, there has been a growing interest in the impact that companies have on society and their employees. In the area of materiality, there has been a growing interest in reliable and inclusive connectivity, as well as in aspects related to employee health and well-being.”

CASE STUDY: TELEFONICA AND MATERIALITY

Telefónica’s approach to materiality in its 2020 Integrated Consolidated Management Report aimed to understand the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on key issues for stakeholders. The company prepared separate materiality matrices by stakeholder type and for ‘Society’.

The process followed delivers a materiality matrix with a global perspective, but in which it is also possible to determine the assessment given by each of the different stakeholder categories in the different countries in which we operate.

Telefónica also produced a specific materiality matrix looking at the issues through the lens of stakeholders representing the interests of Society.

In the aftermath of the pandemic, there has been a growing interest in the impact that companies have on society and their employees. In the area of materiality, there has been a growing interest in reliable and inclusive connectivity, as well as in aspects related to employee health and well-being.”
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SECTION 3

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT
Engaging with stakeholders is a critical part of Operating Responsibly. What are the steps to successful engagement?

What do we mean?

Most companies interact with a wide variety of stakeholders every day, including employees, suppliers, customers and investors. In the context of Operating Responsibly, a robust and structured approach to engagement is essential to success.

Stakeholder engagement gives insight into stakeholders’ opinions and expectations of how an organisation approaches its social, environmental and ethical issues. The best approach is a two-way dialogue between a company and its stakeholders. It should allow a company to inform stakeholders how it is responding to specific issues and give details on performance and plans. It should also give stakeholders a forum to give honest feedback, express support or concern, or even provide expert input.

Rather than one-off engagement, it is best to build long-term stakeholder relationships based on mutual respect — even with groups that are more critical.

An important part of this process is to maintain regular contact and to show how you have considered and responded to dialogue with stakeholder groups. At the very least, most stakeholders will expect a response if they have been asked for their input or opinions.

Stakeholders are defined as “those individuals, groups of individuals or organisations that affect and/or could be affected by an organisation’s activities, products or services and/or associated performance.”

---
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Stakeholder engagement helps companies anticipate future issues or trends and respond to them. By understanding stakeholders’ viewpoints and including this information in company processes, businesses can be more responsive to the external environment and better prepared for the future. Companies that are serious about stakeholder engagement don’t just see it as communication, but an important part of their business strategy.

**What are the benefits?**

Stakeholder engagement helps companies anticipate future issues or trends and respond to them. By understanding stakeholders’ viewpoints and including this information in company processes, businesses can be more responsive to the external environment and better prepared for the future. Companies that are serious about stakeholder engagement don’t just see it as communication, but an important part of their business strategy.

**Stakeholder identification**

The first step in stakeholder engagement is to identify the stakeholder groups that are most relevant to your business. It’s important to build support by briefing senior management on your approach, which will involve a number of steps.

1. **Set the boundary of your engagement**
   What parts of the company are going to be involved in the stakeholder engagement? For larger companies, engagement planning may initially concentrate on a specific country, business unit or function.

2. **Review existing engagement**
   Many parts of your company will already engage with stakeholders and it is important to get a full picture of this engagement. Review existing dialogue by identifying, for example, which stakeholders are involved, who speaks to them and how often, and how any feedback on Operating Responsibly themes is captured and disseminated.

   The results from existing engagement might be scattered across the company in different departments or business units that have little cross-over or do not normally share information.

Bigger companies may have well-established engagement projects, such as employee surveys, union negotiations, customer/consumer surveys and investor dialogues. It is important to connect with these projects to share information and resources.

3. **Group stakeholders and issues**
   It is useful to arrange stakeholders into three groups initially: economic stakeholders (where there is a direct financial relationship); influencers (such as NGOs and regulators); and community stakeholders. Using information from your review of existing engagement, record each stakeholder group’s priority social, environmental or ethical issues and their level of concern related to your company’s approach or performance.

   It is essential to also consider the stakeholder groups your organisation has not yet engaged with. Look beyond standard business stakeholders to include groups with a specific interest in responsibility issues, knowledge of your business sector, or specific local or technical expertise. Examples include NGOs, pressure groups or civil society organisations, trade bodies, community groups, regulators, local authorities, regional development agencies, and government offices and departments.
Engaging your stakeholders and identifying priority issues

It is not possible to engage with all stakeholders at the same time, but as an ongoing process you can build on the initial scope of engagement over time. It is sensible to start with a manageable number of stakeholders, ensuring that all significant voices are included.

A useful starting point is to map out which stakeholders to include based on their influence with key audiences for the business (including other stakeholder groups), and by their level of interest in your sector or specific issues relevant to your company. Figure 5 shows a sample stakeholder map that helps to prioritise the effort and type of engagement to pursue with different stakeholders.

1. SET ENGAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

It is important to define the aims of the engagement. These may be narrow, such as gathering opinions on a specific issue, or more expansive, such as determining the materiality of a wide range of responsibility issues across a variety of stakeholder groups.

2. CONDUCT ENGAGEMENT

The first step is to contact the stakeholders you aim to engage with and explain the objectives of the consultation. With the first consultation, you should check your existing understanding of issues and stakeholders’ views, as well as establish any new or emerging issues. It is sensible to base the dialogue on a set of interview questions or a standard questionnaire to ensure consistency.

Common methods for engaging stakeholders include:

- One-to-one meetings/interviews/roundtables focus groups;
- Surveys and questionnaires;
- Telephone or email contact; and
- Stakeholder panels or workshops.

3. PLAN ONGOING ENGAGEMENT

Aim to manage expectations by agreeing on the frequency of ongoing contact and how you will feed back the results of the engagement. You should also plan how the results will feed into company processes.
4. PRIORITISE MATERIAL ISSUES

Your engagement will deliver a long list of issues that are important to stakeholders. The next step is to decide which of these are your material issues. This assessment should be based on your Operating Responsibly strategy, the importance of the issues to achieving business success and the level of stakeholder concern (see Section 2 – Strategy for more on materiality).

The process should not end after your first formal engagement. It is useful to revisit your assessment of stakeholder groups and material issues periodically to check no new stakeholder groups or issues have emerged that require further engagement or attention from the company.

EXAMPLES OF SOME STANDARD STAKEHOLDER GROUPS AND TYPICAL ENGAGEMENT METHODS:

- Employees: performance appraisals, work councils, trade unions, employee surveys;
- Investors: analyst briefings, investor roadshows, annual reports;
- Suppliers: supplier relations, quality control processes;
- Customers: satisfaction surveys, focus groups, complaints processes;
- Governments and regulators: health, safety and environment management systems, public affairs and lobbying processes; and
- Local communities: community investment projects, complaints registers.

FURTHER INFORMATION:

The 2015 Stakeholder Engagement Standard (AA1000SES) published by AccountAbility provides a benchmark for high-quality engagement. It is a generally applicable framework for the assessment, design, implementation and communication of quality stakeholder engagement.

http://www.accountability.org/standards
Responding to material issues

Once your material issues have been determined, you need to work out the best way to respond.

**WHO WILL RESPOND TO STAKEHOLDERS?**

To maintain the goodwill and trust of stakeholders, you need to show how the company has responded to the findings of your engagement. Aim to allocate responsibility for the company’s response at the most senior level possible. Leadership involvement and responsibility is more likely to deliver coherent action from your business and will help integrate engagement findings into strategy and action.

**DEVELOP YOUR RESPONSES**

How the company responds to stakeholder concerns will depend on the issue, but it is sensible to try using wider business processes to deliver your response. For example, if gender diversity is raised as an issue, it makes sense to use existing human resources systems to deliver a company response. This might be through reviewing recruitment processes, developing mentoring programmes or providing unconscious bias training. Responding in this way helps embed action on an issue into core business processes. It is also useful to set measures to record your progress in responding to the issue, which is discussed in more detail in Section 5 – Performance management.

**COMMUNICATE WHAT YOU ARE DOING**

It is important to communicate both internally and externally how the company is dealing with the responsibility issues raised through engagement. Communicating internally will help employees understand the company’s approach to important issues, which raises awareness and builds support for the company’s action. External communication shows stakeholders that the company is taking the issues seriously and has a plan to address them.

If you fail to tell stakeholders about your company’s response to the issues they are concerned about they are unlikely to agree to being involved in stakeholder engagement again.
Because stakeholder groups and responsibility issues change over time, you should revisit and update your stakeholder map periodically. It is possible to include stakeholders in this process as they may be able to identify new stakeholder groups not included previously, which can enhance your understanding of your stakeholder network.

A company will have many stakeholder groups and engagement will generally involve only a selection of these. Although it is obvious to connect with stakeholders that have greater influence and perhaps represent a wider group of stakeholders, it is also important to target less powerful stakeholder groups. These might be smaller, less sophisticated stakeholder organisations with fewer resources, for example, individual community members, small special interest groups or organisations representing future generations. It is also worth seeking organisations that represent or speak on behalf of less visible or disempowered stakeholders.

Participating in sector initiatives can expand the scope of stakeholder engagement. Working with trade bodies or issue-specific initiatives linked to your sector can help reach a larger number of stakeholders, often internationally, and provide relevant information for your company. An example is the GSMA Connected Women Commitment. Launched in 2016, the initiative supports mobile operators in low- and middle-income countries to reduce the gender gap in mobile internet and mobile money. Another example is the GSMA We Care initiative, which is a multi-stakeholder initiative where mobile operators join forces as an industry to allow the mobile phone and mobile networks to provide various solutions to social problems.

A multi-stakeholder approach is often used involving government representatives, regulators, civil society and UN agencies.

Developing local engagement processes allows companies with international operations to gain insight into local concerns. This helps promote local action to address local concerns, as well as provide information that feeds into corporate-level understanding. Introducing a company-wide requirement for business units to undertake local stakeholder engagement and report findings can help create a uniform process across the company.
Stakeholder engagement

Why we engage

“Everything we do is framed by this purpose, which illustrates how we take account of the social and environmental priorities we share with our stakeholders. Our purpose feeds into the four ambitions of the Engage 2025 strategic plan and our commitment to promote digital equality and protect the planet. This drive and determination were plain to see in our efforts to maintain network availability and service continuity for our customers in France and around the world throughout the Covid-19 pandemic. The Group’s commitment is reflected in a selection of Sustainable Development Goals established by the member states of the United Nations, for which the Orange Executive Committee believes that the Group has, or should have, the capacity to make a genuinely positive contribution. Orange is also taking steps to be Net Zero Carbon by 2040, thanks to a revisited energy efficiency plan, the use of renewable energies, and carbon sequestration for its residual emissions.

How we engage

Orange bases its CSR efforts on repeated, structured dialogue with all of its stakeholders, aimed at:

- ensuring the consistency of the Group’s CSR projects and producing a materiality analysis;
- identifying and characterizing the risks related to the social and environmental challenges of the countries where Orange operates, focusing in particular on detecting “weak signals”;
- identifying opportunities for innovation opening up new prospects of growth for Orange, while supporting the social and economic development of the countries concerned.

Orange takes into account the principles of inclusion, materiality and responsiveness defined by the AA1000 APS standard (2008), an international benchmark for Corporate Social Responsibility focused on taking into account the expectations of stakeholders.

In 2020, Orange worked on the “country” dialogue in a new formulation to respond to the launch of the Engage 2025 plan, and drawing on new methods imposed by the health crisis. The new “Responsible together in a post-Covid world” dialogue was launched in Sierra Leone, Poland and Tunisia in 2020, and will be launched in Madagascar, Slovakia, Jordan and France in 2021. It incorporates the CSR commitment pillars of the strategic plan as well as the notions of freedom of expression, data protection and work organization. It is expected to be rolled out across all of the Group’s geographies over the next two years.”


For more information on AA1000, see: [http://www.accountability.org/standards](http://www.accountability.org/standards)

Case study: stakeholder engagement at Orange

“As a trusted partner, Orange gives everyone the keys to a responsible digital world.” The corporate purpose of Orange was constructed jointly during 2019, in consultation with its employees and with its internal and external stakeholders. Orange elected to incorporate its corporate purpose into its Bylaws in 2020.

For the purpose: [https://gsma.com/betterfuture/sustainable-leadership](https://gsma.com/betterfuture/sustainable-leadership)
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How does governance relate to Operating Responsibly and how should it be integrated in your approach?

What do we mean?

Corporate governance is the system by which a company is directed and controlled. It consists of policies, processes and company structures for decision-making and the supervision of business activities. It also establishes the responsibility of a company’s board of directors and senior executives for business performance and behaviour.

Historically, corporate governance focussed on financial matters and a company’s primary duty to its shareholders. Increasingly, however, a wide range of stakeholders are demanding that boards of directors should also be accountable for their company’s social, ethical and environmental impacts — and their long-term sustainability.

Supporting this, Harvard University research has shown that there is a legal foundation for company directors to consider other stakeholders beyond shareholders. Likewise, Principle 4 of the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance state that governance frameworks “should recognise the rights of stakeholders established by law or through mutual agreements and encourage active co-operation between corporations and stakeholders in creating wealth, jobs, and the sustainability of financially sound enterprises.”

---


Stakeholder demands on companies can include:

- Details of how the board considers and acts on the risks and opportunities relating to Operating Responsibly;
- Understanding incentives (and sanctions) for board members and executives to ensure responsible operations;
- How company policies and initiatives support social, environmental and ethical objectives and align with international standards; and
- Clarity on CEO and executive management responsibility for Operating Responsibly.

There is no single way in which companies should approach governance. Specific requirements and common practice vary from region to region and country to country. For example, there are differences in requirements for worker representation on boards and the independence of board members, as well as separating the role of CEO and Chair.

Also, as company ownership by institutional investors grows, including those following Socially Responsible Investment (SRI) standards (see page 8), different perspectives and expectations are becoming established, particularly with respect to taking a long-term view on company performance.

Guidance and regulations

In response to numerous high-profile corporate scandals and governance failures, a wide range of national and international guidance and legislation has been developed. Understanding current and emerging guidance and legislation relating to your company and its operating environment is essential, including requirements linked to social, environmental and ethical issues.

While some guidance on governance is international, such as the OECD Principles of Corporate Governance, most is developed at a national level. For companies operating in multiple countries, it is important to understand how the group approach to governance will have impact at an operating company level.

Some significant examples of national legislation and guidance include:

- Companies Act 2006, UK Corporate Governance Code 2018 (UK);
- Sarbanes-Oxley Act 2002, New York Stock Exchange Corporate Governance Standards (USA); and
- King Report on Corporate Governance I, II, III and, most recently, IV 2016 (South Africa).
Operating Responsibly as part of board activity

**ESTABLISH RESPONSIBILITY**

Ultimate responsibility for a company’s management and performance on environmental, social and ethical issues should lie with the board of directors — at the same level as financial responsibility. This helps to incorporate responsibility issues into wider business strategy and decision-making. The Edelman Trust barometer 2021 shows that 66 per cent of respondents think CEOs should lead change and not wait for government.

A common approach is to establish a board committee with responsibility for social, environmental and ethical issues. This allows for more in-depth discussion and decision-making at the highest level than would be possible in full board meetings. An alternative approach is to expand the remit of an existing board committee, such as the audit committee. With either option, the terms of reference of the committee, including its relationship to the board of directors, should be made clear.

**CLARIFY LINES OF COMMUNICATION**

For a board of directors and its committees to have effective oversight of Operating Responsibly, they must receive relevant information from company management. A senior executive, supported by a suitable department or resources, should hold responsibility for providing timely information to the board. They should also proactively raise Operating Responsibly issues that require attention. Ideally, Operating Responsibly should be added to existing responsibilities.

For example, the board delegates responsibility to functional or regional managers alongside their other duties.

**TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY**

Companies should publicise the responsibilities of the board and board committees in relation to Operating Responsibly. It may not be appropriate to disclose details of board-level discussions, but good practice includes summarising topics discussed at board or committee meetings, and possibly key actions agreed.

**PROVIDE BOARD-LEVEL TRAINING**

Board members may not be familiar with some of the Operating Responsibly issues facing your company. Opportunities for briefing board members should be sought, for example, giving an overview of responsibility issues in their general induction and providing training on specific or emerging issues.
GOVERNANCE

Developing company policies

An important part of ensuring good governance is having policies in place that cover the main aspects of Operating Responsibly. Policies should cover the company’s most material issues (see Section 2 — Strategy). Examples include policies on environmental protection, health and safety, data protection and privacy, and human rights. When developing policies make sure they are well researched, taking account of stakeholder feedback, risk analysis and benchmarking against other operators.

DEFINE GOOD PRACTICE GOALS

Leadership is critical as companies often behave in the way they are led. This is why the tone from the top is important for Operating Responsibly. A company’s values and its commitment to operate responsibly must be endorsed by senior leadership and flow through all of its communications.

When implementing policies and setting goals, it is important to be clear about what you are trying to achieve and by when. In the early stages, general objectives are sufficient as specific and measurable targets can be developed later on (and this can be an objective in itself). An example of a good practice goal might be ‘to raise awareness of modern slavery in procurement processes.’

ROLLOUT

It is not possible to do everything at once. It is important to define when and how widely your policies will be implemented across the company. In international companies, for example, it is logical to rollout policies in the home country first followed by international operations later. In this way, lessons from the initial rollout can be applied to countries with smaller operations or fewer resources. It is also important to consider how far you can deliver your policies in areas where your company only influences operations, such as joint ventures. These areas may not be covered initially and the approach should be agreed with your partners.

BUILD SUPPORT

It is important to explain carefully the rationale and requirements of any new policies to staff, suppliers and others affected by them. This is likely to require internal and external communications and support may need to be provided in certain areas (see Training, next page). Many organisations work to identify and mobilise a group of ‘champions’ — interested and motivated individuals within different functions, locations or operating companies — to support the rollout of policies and act as local contact points.
It is likely that some aspects of your Operating Responsibly strategy and policies will expose management and staff to new concepts. You should assess training needs around the organisation and these may need to be met through a mix of general training to explain your overall approach, and specialist training for functions that need new or specific skills to be successful. It is also important to build Operating Responsibly messaging that flows through all training and corporate communications to demonstrate a consistent approach and reinforce the right tone from the top.

An example might be training required by procurement managers and quality auditors to provide the skills needed to deliver ethical performance within your supply chain. General training might be in the form of information on Operating Responsibly and relevant policies within induction processes for all new staff.

Suitable control mechanisms are also needed to show how well a policy is being implemented and whether the company is adhering to it. Ideally, these should be integrated in existing processes for tracking other areas of performance. Involve your internal audit team when deciding how to track compliance.
Good practice and beyond

LINKING REMUNERATION TO OPERATING RESPONSIBLY

Performance-related pay is common in corporate remuneration. It is good practice for a portion of performance-related pay to be linked to performance in Operating Responsibly. This is especially relevant for board members and senior executives who are in the best position to influence performance on responsibility issues. How these incentives will cascade through the company also needs to be defined and communicated. Clarity is also needed on how responsibility goals will be measured and how different levels of performance are linked to remuneration (read more in Section 5 – Performance management).

SET UP A STAKEHOLDER PANEL

With a suitable governance framework in place, it is good practice to consider how stakeholder views on responsibility issues can be heard by the board. One approach is to create a stakeholder panel or advisory board with suitable terms of reference and a defined relationship with the board. It can be helpful to involve a specialist consultancy to set up a panel and even act as the secretariat (read more in Section 3 – Stakeholder engagement).

ALIGN WITH THE SDGS

It can be helpful, and add credibility, if governance arrangements (and policies) explicitly recognise relevant international frameworks, such as the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Leading companies are reviewing where they can have the greatest impact on delivering specific Goals and in which parts of their operation. They are also acting as agents for wider action and partnership involving other companies, civil society organisations and governments.

EXPAND AND REVIEW POLICIES

Alongside the rollout of company policies, you should also plan to develop and adopt new policies that cover emerging issues. For example, few mobile operators had human rights policies 10 years ago while today many do. This is a direct response to increasing awareness of human rights issues and the development of international guidance, such as the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights.

In a rapidly changing technology sector, it is inevitable that risks and opportunities will shift rapidly. Regular reviews of stakeholder attitudes and potential changes to legislation will reveal new issues that need to be managed within your governance framework.

gsma.com/betterfuture/sustainable-leadership
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CASE STUDY: TELIA COMPANY’S APPROACH TO CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Preamble

The foundation of Telia Company’s approach to corporate governance is laid out in the Statement of Materiality which is included in the Annual and Sustainability Report. In the Statement, Telia Company clearly expresses the Board’s and Management’s view that the best way to deliver long-term value creation for the company’s shareholders is by embedding responsible business practices throughout the company.

Statement of Materiality

Telia Company AB is registered in Sweden and is bound by the Swedish Companies Act (2005:551). The Act requires the Board of Directors to govern the company in a way that is profitable and creates value for its shareholders. It is Telia Company’s firm belief that integrating sustainable and responsible business practices in all aspects of business and strategy is a prerequisite for sustainable growth and profitability, which in turn creates long-term value for shareholders and supports sustainable development.

Telia Company plays a vital role in tackling current and future societal and environmental challenges; challenges which in turn increasingly define the playing field for economies of all scales. The company also has an obligation to manage risks and negative impacts. Therefore, Telia Company has adopted a stakeholder-based approach to sustainability. The approach is based on continuous engagement with key stakeholder groups to identify, understand and manage the most material current and future impacts on its stakeholders, society and the environment. These material impacts guide how Telia Company operates and are reflected in the commitment to make a substantial contribution towards reaching the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Telia Company regularly monitors and discloses progress through this combined Annual and Sustainability Report.

Significant stakeholder groups are defined as:

- Consumers
- Business customers
- Employees
- Shareholders and investors
- Suppliers and partners
- Society

Telia Company is committed to a number of international guidelines and initiatives related to anti-corruption, environmental responsibility, human rights and labor rights, including:

- The UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- The core conventions of the International Labour Organization (ILO)
- The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- The UN Global Compact
- The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- The Children’s Rights and Business Principles

These guidelines form the foundation of the Code of Responsible Business Conduct which is approved by the Board. The requirements set by the Code, which go beyond legal compliance and apply to all employees, lay out how to engage with stakeholders in a way that ensures the highest degree of ethical business practices and behavior.

https://www.telia.com/en/investors/reports-and-presentations/annual-reports/
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As a critical part of delivering against strategy, what are the main elements of performance management?

**What do we mean?**

Managing responsibility performance is about achieving and demonstrating control over your material issues. To do this effectively requires clear lines of responsibility for each issue and the right management systems.

The processes and controls that deliver good performance management are central to Operating Responsibly and getting it right demands a lot of effort — it is the engine that delivers your strategy. There is no ‘one-size-fits-all’ system and the right approach for your company should be designed to fit with your existing operational structure and culture. Things to consider include:

**MANAGEMENT RESPONSIBILITY**

For each material issue, determine who in your organisation is responsible for delivering performance — from the department to the executive level. This is an important component of a joined-up approach to governance (see Section 4). Some issues will cross traditional department boundaries or be relevant to multiple functions, so a carefully considered approach is needed.

**PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**

It is often said that ‘you can’t manage what you don’t measure’. Establishing the right indicators to track performance and developing accurate systems for collecting data is critically important. Measure the wrong things and you will be unable to deliver the change needed to meet your strategic goals and policy commitments. Measure too many things and you will easily get lost in too much information.

gsma.com/betterfuture/sustainable-leadership
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Performance Management

Targets

Armed with useful measures and a clear understanding of baseline performance, it is possible to set improvement targets. Meaningful targets should stretch the organisation to deliver change, but they should also be achievable. As far as possible, targets should be SMART (Simple, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant and Time-bound).

Management Systems

Many companies seek external certification to management system standards to help manage specific issues. Using management systems that are based on a ‘plan-do-check-act’ cycle can help establish or improve the processes needed to deliver continuous improvement and achieve your targets. External certification can help build credibility, giving confidence that your approach delivers robust management data and provides the business with an accurate view of performance. There is no single external standard that covers all aspects of Operating Responsibly. The closest is the ISO 26000 Guidance on Social Responsibility, which is guidance rather than a certifiable management system standard. To date, the ISO 26000 guidance has not been widely adopted as many organisations find its requirements too onerous.

Two of the most commonly used standards are ISO 14001 for Environmental Management Systems (plus additional standards for specific environmental issues, such as energy management) and OHSAS 18001/ISO 45001 for Occupational Health and Safety Management Systems.

Further Information:

More information can be found at:
https://www.iso.org/management-system-standards.html
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Metrics and measurement

There are many sources of guidance to help companies set appropriate metrics and measures to track their responsibility performance. Many of these are relevant to most large companies, including mobile operators, and it makes sense to use the experience of such initiatives as well as that of other companies.

Examples of general measures include those relating to diversity and equal opportunity or occupational health and safety, many aspects of which are relevant to mobile operators. A good source of wide-ranging guidance is the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) (www.globalreporting.org), which sets out a range of indicators and data specifications for reporting responsibility performance in its Global Reporting Standards (read more in Section 6 – Telling your story).

Currently, there is no definitive guidance on responsibility issues and metrics for mobile operators. One of the best sources of information to help develop performance measures is what other mobile operators have already published.

Of course, such benchmarking should not be the sole basis for selecting relevant measures for your company, because what is material for one company may not be for another.

There may be good reasons why the precise form of a measure used by one organisation may not be directly relevant to another. However, where a selection of companies in the same sector use a similar approach it is generally useful to adopt it as this helps with sector comparability and learning.

International operators should also consider the significance of national or regional differences. This can influence the selection of measures so they are relevant both company-wide and to local operations.

The table presented on the next page (Table 2) shows examples of some common measures adopted by GSMA members in their publicly reported information.
### Table 2: The table below provides examples of aspects and measures that are used by some mobile operators when reporting their performance on material issues. It is not a complete list, but provides an indication of the types of measures being used to define and capture performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>ASPECTS</th>
<th>EXAMPLE PERFORMANCE METRICS (UNIT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Customer service</td>
<td>Customer satisfaction</td>
<td>Net Promoter Score, by country, brand, etc. (number)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Handling of customer complaints</td>
<td>Complaints by topic, resolved (number)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Operations with controls applied in line with minimum age policy (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Children reached by child online safety education and awareness raising programmes (number)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Operations blocking child sexual abuse content (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child online safety</td>
<td>Education and awareness raising</td>
<td>Rate reductions for the under-served and other vulnerable groups (number of recipients and reduction amount, $)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initiatives to tackle online child exploitation</td>
<td>Users of mobile financial services (number)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adapted price plans, low-cost handsets</td>
<td>New connections or improved internet services in remote, dangerous or hard-to-reach areas (number)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital inclusion</td>
<td>Training and awareness raising to support customers with fewer digital skills</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Investment in infrastructure in remote, dangerous or hard-to-reach areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy and cyber security</td>
<td>Data protection and cyber-security systems</td>
<td>Incidents of theft or loss of personal data, by country (number)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fines and reporting</td>
<td>Fines relating to data protection/privacy issues (number and $ value)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Ethics</td>
<td>Policies, systems and controls in place to guide employee conduct</td>
<td>Employees attending Business Ethics training (number)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identifying and resolving non-compliance</td>
<td>Reported incidence of non-compliance, investigations completed and outcomes (number)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Independent channel for whistleblowing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freedom of Expression</td>
<td>Protect customers lawful right to freely access and disseminate content</td>
<td>Customers reached by training or awareness raising (number)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increasing customers knowledge and understanding and encouraging greater institutional openness and transparency</td>
<td>Responses to government institution data requests (number)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax transparency</td>
<td>Tax policy, strategy and payments</td>
<td>Payments to governments, including taxes paid, by country/region/type ($ value)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Taxation rate (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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14 New legislation on data protection has been introduced in a number of countries recently, strengthening the focus on disclosures in this area. UNPRI summarises these in its “Stepping up Governance on Cybersecurity” publication, [https://www.unpri.org/download?ac=5134](https://www.unpri.org/download?ac=5134)

15 Many companies produce separate transparency reports.

16 Many organisations now publish a standalone report about tax strategy and payments.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>ASPECTS</th>
<th>EXAMPLE PERFORMANCE METRICS (UNIT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobile communications and health</td>
<td>Mobile mast site emissions</td>
<td>Mobile mast sites audited (number) and compliance with emissions regulatory guidelines (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Research, education and collaboration</td>
<td>Complaints received and the response (number)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Employer</td>
<td>Protecting labour rights of employees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Training and development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Talent management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee diversity</td>
<td>Workforce demographics and diversity</td>
<td>Management diversity, including executive committee and board (% women)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equal pay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local recruitment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Safety</td>
<td>Health and safety incidents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Training for employee safety, health and well-being</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Sourcing</td>
<td>Supplier due diligence and audits</td>
<td>Contracts with sustainability clauses (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Policies and reporting</td>
<td>Suppliers identified as high-risk (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Supplier audits conducted (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplier capacity development</td>
<td>Increasing supplier capacity for environmental or health and safety performance</td>
<td>Suppliers that participate in environmental or health and safety training programmes (number and %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diversification and competitiveness</td>
<td>Diverse suppliers invited to participate in sourcing events per year (number)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spend on minority/women-owned suppliers, total ($ value) and proportion (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste and e-waste</td>
<td>Collection and disposal of waste</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e-waste and hazardous waste</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waste returned by customers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate related risk</td>
<td>Exposure to climate risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scenarios-based disclosures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Impact</td>
<td>Energy use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy efficiency and emissions mitigation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Renewable energy use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Absolute and relative scope 1, 2 and 3 GHG emissions (tonnes CO2-e, tonnes CO2-e/Tb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progress against science-based target (% target achieved)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Energy and fuel use (kWh), including renewable energy consumption (kWh and %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17 Publicly traded companies in the US are required to submit an annual conflict minerals disclosure report (“Form SD”) to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

18 See Task Force on Climate-related Disclosures: https://www.fsb-tcfd.org/

19 https://sciencebasedtargets.org/
Getting organised

RESPONSIBILITY FOR PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT

Assigning responsibility for performance management needs careful thought and should be set in the context of your approach to governance. In many cases there will be a logical approach that relates to existing management structures. For example, if there are many risks in the supply chain it is logical for human rights responsibilities to sit within the procurement function.

Setting up a single department to drive all aspects of Operating Responsibility performance rarely makes sense in the long run. For most companies it is useful to have a distinct department in the early stages of Operating Responsibly as strategy, policies and systems are being developed. However, in the long run it can send the wrong message to other departments or managers that Operating Responsibly is someone else’s job.

Eventually, it is best if the responsibility to deliver your strategy is embedded within all functions and operating companies rather than being controlled by one department that needs to inspire action around the business. Regardless of the model adopted, successful companies usually set up a cross-functional steering committee because so many aspects of Operating Responsibly performance cut across traditional departmental boundaries.

INTEGRATE WITH MANAGEMENT AND REPORTING SYSTEMS

To avoid duplication and ensure efficiency, it is important to link your approach to performance management with existing systems and processes. For reporting, the frequency and level of detail needed will vary across the business. For example, information needs will differ for those responsible for day-to-day management, for senior executive and board-level briefings, and for corporate affairs or communications teams responsible for external reporting or marketing.

Good practice requires designing a coordinated approach that avoids a disconnect between management systems, financial control mechanisms, accountability processes and reporting arrangements. If your company has a balanced scorecard, or other system for monitoring performance, it is sensible to include responsibility targets for material issues within this.

In some areas, measurement and target setting will require a technical, quantitative approach based on defined metrics, for example, with environmental performance. In other areas, more qualitative or process-based goals will be needed, particularly while exploring how to improve performance for a particular issue. In particularly contentious areas, it can be useful to involve stakeholders while developing suitable performance management measures.
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Ensuring accurate and trustworthy data is an important part of performance management. To support this, data quality and control systems are needed along with internal and external audit procedures.

To help ensure quality, some companies initially limit the scope of their data collection to sources they directly control. As an example, companies using the Greenhouse Gas Protocol often start measuring Scope 1 emissions (from sources owned or controlled by the company) and Scope 2 emissions (from the generation of purchased electricity).

As systems mature, responsible businesses usually expand to Scope 3 emissions (sources not owned or controlled by the company). An example of Scope 3 emissions for a mobile operator is emissions from mobile technology used by consumers.

As your approach to Operating Responsibly matures, you will be able to shift your focus from controlling risk to creating opportunity. Many companies start this transition when they embed Operating Responsibly in their innovation processes. Developing products and services that improve customers’ lives is a significant example of how Operating Responsibly can create new revenue streams while contributing to sustainable development.

GSMA Mobile for Development brings together mobile operators, technology innovators, the development community and governments to investigate how mobile can deliver socio-economic benefits in emerging markets.
Companies are increasingly looking to expert organisations for help in setting science-based targets that align with external frameworks, such as the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

The most common example of science-based targets relates to climate risk. Scientific consensus is clear that governments and companies must act now to reduce global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions to limit climate change to below 2°C, which will avoid the most serious impacts of climate change.

The global Science Based Targets initiative (www.sciencebasedtargets.org) states: “Science-based targets provide a clearly-defined pathway for companies to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, helping prevent the worst impacts of climate change and future-proof business growth. Targets are considered ‘science-based’ if they are in line with what the latest climate science deems necessary to meet the goals of the Paris Agreement – limiting global warming to well-below 2°C above pre-industrial levels and pursuing efforts to limit warming to 1.5°C.”

Setting and achieving science-based targets is critical in helping companies contribute to the SDGs and create business value. Ambitious targets encourage companies to be lean, efficient and durable, saving costs in the process. The concept of science-based targets can be applied to other SDG indicators and help show a company’s commitment and contribution to the SDGs.
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In 2018, Vodafone’s Tom Salisbury, Senior Sustainable Business Manager, outlined how the Group established a new global sustainable business strategy and associated targets, and how these were embedded across the business.

Q: How did Vodafone Group roll out its strategy to its country operations?
A: In 2015–16, Vodafone set out to redefine its approach to sustainability. Our aim was to ensure even closer alignment between the Group’s commercial goals and the maximum possible social and environmental benefit achievable as a consequence of those goals. We also set out to address the aspects of our operations — such as privacy, human rights and our economic contribution to society — that are the source of greatest public concern and where we are well-placed to help inform public debate through our corporate transparency programme. The new strategy includes a series of global targets, which have been cascaded across the business. Although the targets were set at a global level, they are delivered by our business, in conjunction with our local markets.

Q: What lessons have you learned from developing and rolling out relevant goals?
A: Throughout the process of setting our strategy and defining our goals, extending our understanding of the business priorities was vital. We worked closely with many other functions (including human resources and commercial) as well as our local markets in order to identify the ways in which we could deliver impact through our business. This enabled us to build a strong business case and commercial rationale, which was crucial to ensure buy-in and ownership.

Q: How have you integrated goals into a wide range of business functions?
A: Our strategy is designed to ensure the delivery of our targets and priorities takes place through the business. We worked with different areas of the business to develop our global approach and continue to work with them to shape the delivery of the programmes that will ultimately deliver progress. Performance measurement for the targets is integrated within the key KPIs for each function. For example, our employee gender diversity metrics are reported to the senior leadership team on a monthly basis alongside other core human resources performance metrics.

Q: Can you highlight an area where the strategy and performance approach are working well?
A: In 2018, we announced a new target to support 10 million young people to access digital skills, learning and employment opportunities. As part of this, Vodafone launched its Future Jobs Finder platform, which is a smartphone-based service offering young people a free gateway to gaining new digital skills and discovering opportunities for employment in the growing digital economy. The platform was developed through different teams within Vodafone collaborating with psychologists, training providers and young people. Performance is constantly monitored, with data being used to continuously improve the platform’s user experience.

The Future Jobs Finder is available in 12 languages, and has been completed by over a quarter of a million unique users since launching in March 2018.
SECTION 6

TELLING YOUR STORY
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How can communicating your approach to Operating Responsibly help build trust-based relationships with stakeholders?

What do we mean?

You have developed a strategy, engaged stakeholders to determine your material issues, and considered how to manage and measure your performance. But how will anyone know what you are doing if you don’t tell your story? Adopting a transparent and honest approach to communicating how you are tackling your material issues can provide many benefits that support your approach to Operating Responsibly.

More and more, companies are reporting their performance on social, environmental and ethical issues, also known as non-financial disclosure. This has been driven by a mix of growing legislation on non-financial disclosure from large companies, increasing demands from stakeholders, and greater awareness of how transparency can help protect company reputation and build trust.

As with any communication, it is important to get the right information to the right stakeholders using the right channel. An annual corporate responsibility or sustainability report is one of the most common ways of communicating your approach and performance. It should cover your commitment and performance, successes and highlights, and be honest about areas where you need to improve.

Sustainability reporting is now mainstream corporate practice. The 2020 KPMG Survey of Sustainability Reporting found that 80 per cent of 5,200 global companies published a sustainability report. Similar research from the Governance and Accountability Institute showed that 90 per cent of the S&P 500 Index released a sustainability report in 2019, up from 20 per cent in 2011.
Companies also use other communication channels, such as their corporate website and, increasingly, their annual financial report to communicate their commitment to Operating Responsibly. The same trend of increasing non-financial disclosure is clear within annual reporting. KPMG’s 2020 survey showed that 76 per cent of the world’s largest 250 global companies include responsibility information in their annual reports (on a 2017 base), up from 44 per cent in 2011.

**What are the benefits of reporting?**

- Promotes stronger relationships with stakeholders by enhancing understanding of your business — benefitting your brand, shareholder confidence and consumer opinion;
- Enhances both existing and potential employees’ awareness of a company’s approach to being a responsible corporate citizen;
- Operational benefits from measuring, collecting and reporting responsibility information and data can help streamline management systems or integrate responsibility into business processes;
- Supports investment and access to capital — reporting provides socially responsible investors with details of a company’s responsibility commitment and performance, which are considered in their investment decisions; and
- Provides the basis for ongoing dialogue with stakeholders, as many companies use their report as a starting point for engagement and integrate stakeholder feedback into their reports.

---
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Legislation and reporting standards

EXPANDING LEGISLATION

For many years, reporting on social, environmental and ethical issues was a voluntary activity supported by a number of standards and frameworks. More recently, however, there has been a growing trend towards mandatory non-financial reporting in a number of countries.

One example is the EU non-financial reporting directive or ‘NFRD ’ (Directive 2014/95/EU) on disclosure of non-financial and diversity information. The Directive covers around 6,000 large organisations — those with more than 500 employees — and from 2018 required annual reports to include information on environmental protection, social and employee matters, human rights, anti-bribery and corruption, and diversity.

In 2020, a major consultation process on the NFRD raised concerns about the comparability of information and the need for a requirement on companies to use a common standard in reporting. It was decided to create a common classification system for sustainable economic activities. This ‘EU taxonomy’ is a classification system, establishing a list of environmentally sustainable economic activities. In 2020, the Taxonomy Regulation (Sustainable finance taxonomy - Regulation (EU) 2020/852) entered into force. It establishes the framework for the EU taxonomy by setting out four overarching conditions that an economic activity has to meet in order to qualify as environmentally sustainable. The Taxonomy will be influential beyond the boundaries of the EU.

At a country level, the United Nations Sustainable Stock Exchanges Initiative identified that, in 2016, 14 G20 countries and 32 of the 50 largest country economies already had at least one regulation covering an aspect of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) disclosure. By 2021, 56 Stock exchanges worldwide provide written guidance on ESG disclosure, while during 2019, 23 Stock Exchanges had mandatory ESG listing requirements.

---

24 https://sseinitiative.org
There are numerous voluntary frameworks and standards that provide guidance to companies on reporting their social, environmental and ethical issues. In September 2020, five leading framework and standard-setting organizations—CDP, CDSB, GRI, IIRC and SASB—announced a shared vision for a comprehensive corporate reporting system that includes both financial accounting and sustainability disclosure, connected via integrated reporting.

CDP
www.cdp.net

CDP is an investor-driven disclosure programme that enables companies, cities, states and regions to measure and manage their environmental impacts. Through CDP, over 590 investors with over US$110 trillion in assets requested companies disclose through CDP on climate change, water security and forests. Over 9,600 companies reported through CDP in 2021.

Global Reporting Initiative (GRI)
www.globalreporting.org

The GRI Sustainability Reporting Standards are the most widely used global standards for sustainability reporting. The Standards place materiality at the heart of the reporting process. The Standards are designed as an easy-to-use modular set, starting with the universal Standards. Topic Standards are then selected, based on the organization’s material topics – economic, environmental or social. This process ensures that the sustainability report provides an inclusive picture of material topics, their related impacts, and how they are managed. The Standards include a range of performance metrics and disclosure requirements that need to be reported.

The United Nations Global Compact
www.unglobalcompact.org/participation/report/

Companies that commit to the Global Compact are required to submit an annual Communication on Progress (COP). The minimum requirements for a COP are a statement of continued support from the chief executive, a description of practical action or plans to implement the Ten Principles, and a measurement of outcomes. A revision is expected in 2021.

International Integrated Reporting Framework
www.integratedreporting.org

The International <IR> Framework defines integrated reporting as ‘a process founded on integrated thinking that results in a periodic integrated report by an organization about value creation over time and related communications regarding aspects of value creation.’ Integrated reporting aims to bring together material information about an organization’s strategy, governance, performance and prospects in a way that reflects the commercial, social and environmental context within which it operates. More detail on <IR> is provided in the ‘Good practice and beyond’ section on page 55.

Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB)
www.sasb.org

The Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) is an independent non-profit organization that sets standards to guide the disclosure of financially material sustainability information by companies to their investors. SASB Standards identify the subset of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) issues most relevant to financial performance in each of 77 industries.

Climate Disclosure Standards Board
https://www.cdsb.net

The CDSB Framework for reporting environmental and climate change information is designed to help organisations prepare and present environmental information in mainstream reports for the benefit of investors. The CDSB Framework was updated in April 2018 to align with the recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures and other key mainstream reporting requirements.
Getting it done

Planning and delivering a credible corporate responsibility report can be time consuming and resource intensive. Some of the key steps are outlined below:

1. Get leadership support
As with other areas of Operating Responsibly, reporting needs the full support of company leadership. This will help to secure suitable budget and resources and build company-wide support.

2. Set up a reporting team
The reporting process will gather information from many departments so setting up a cross-functional team is useful. At the least, establish contact points in each department to support the reporting process. Consider other roles too, such as a report writer and graphic designer.

3. Set the scope of your report
Consider the following when defining your approach to reporting:

   ➔ Who are your priority audiences? Corporate responsibility reporting is not a one-size-fits-all approach, so think about which audiences you can serve best with your report.

4. Consider what to report
It is essential you cover your material issues in your reporting to show you are tackling areas where your company has the biggest impact. Other elements to report include:

   ➔ Your policies and management systems;
   ➔ Governance arrangements and accountability for Operating Responsibly;
   ➔ Your stakeholder engagement processes and findings;
   ➔ Targets and objectives; and
   ➔ Your performance, including successes and areas where you need to improve, providing a balanced view of your performance.

More advanced companies also show how Operating Responsibly is included in or linked to their wider business strategy and goals. As with most aspects of Operating Responsibly, the aim should be to improve your reporting year on year. Stakeholders are likely to accept a simple first report with a commitment to improve over time.
Assurance

Independent assurance of your report can help develop trust and credibility in your approach to Operating Responsibly. It shows that the report and data have been subjected to independent review. Most reporters will benefit from the scrutiny of an assurance process, although less mature reporters may feel concerned about undertaking external assurance while they are getting to grips with the process of reporting.

BACKGROUND AND DEFINITIONS

The International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB) defines an assurance engagement as “an engagement in which a practitioner expresses a conclusion designed to enhance the degree of confidence of the intended users other than the responsible party about the outcome of the evaluation or measurement of a subject matter against criteria.”

The 2020 KPMG survey of Sustainability Reporting shows that 71% of the largest 250 companies used assurance in their reporting. Assurance of sustainability information has now become standard practice for large and mid-cap companies worldwide.

APPROACH

When used appropriately, an assurance process can not only provide confidence that ‘the things in the report are right’, but also that the ‘right things have been reported’. However, some companies only seek assurance for a narrowly defined subject matter, for example, focussing on the accuracy of one or two datasets.

It is therefore important to think carefully about where assurance can add the greatest value for your company and the users of the report, and to take expert advice (internally or externally) when defining your objectives. Assurance can be provided by a range of bodies, from professional accountants to suitably qualified, specialist organisations or consultancies.

ASSURANCE STANDARDS

Using a suitable external assurance standard will add rigour and can increase the credibility of the work. Two commonly adopted standards are ISAE 3000 and AA1000AS provided by AccountAbility.
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27 International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE) 3000 Revised, Assurance Engagements Other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information: www.ifac.org
28 AA1000AS https://www.accountability.org/standards/aa1000-assurance-standard
Good practice and beyond

**LINK YOUR REPORTING AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT**

A common objective of stakeholder engagement is to get feedback on your reporting. This can highlight opportunities to deliver information more effectively, either the type of information or data provided, or the way it is presented or published. It makes sense to reflect stakeholder expectations and concerns in your reporting, even if these are critical or challenging. It can help build the credibility of your approach to Operating Responsibly and shows a commitment to transparency.

**REFLECT THE SDGS**

Showing how a company’s approach to Operating Responsibly supports the UN Sustainable Development Goals is a growing part of reporting. Not only does this help to show your company’s commitment, it also helps to raise awareness of the SDGs.

**INTEGRATING NON-FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE IN YOUR ANNUAL REPORT**

There is a growing belief among companies and investors that financial reporting on its own cannot capture a company’s long-term value and performance. A more complete and rounded corporate narrative that includes non-financial elements is needed. As a result, the concept of integrated reporting is gaining momentum supported by the International Integrated Reporting Framework, which was updated in 2021.

The framework includes many similar elements to standard financial reporting requirements, such as a strategic report, operating context, governance and business performance. Where it differs is in the three ‘fundamental concepts’ that underpin integrated reporting. These are:

- Reflecting short-, medium- and long-term value creation horizons;
- Using six capitals as a way to express value creation: financial, human, manufactured, social, intellectual and natural capital; and
- The value creation process: inputs (capitals) > company business model > outputs (capitals).

Although many companies include some form of non-financial information in their annual reports, true integrated reporting needs to reflect an integrated approach to value creation. This requires a review of how a company creates, diminishes or destroys value over the short-, medium- and long-term across six forms of capital – financial, manufactured, intellectual, human, social and natural — which cover financial, social, environmental and ethical issues. For companies that already include social and environmental issues in their business strategy, and communicate what this means for their operations and business performance, integrated reporting can be a logical next step.
The following is a list of resources and websites that are relevant to Operating Responsibly and have been mentioned or referenced in this document.

**GSMA resources:**

- #Better future – the mobile industry’s purpose
  www.gsma.com/betterfuture
- Mobile's support for the SDGs – SDG impact report
  www.gsma.com/betterfuture/2020sdgimpactreport
- Sustainable and responsible business leadership: Maximising the positive impact of mobile on people and the planet
  www.gsma.com/betterfuture/sustainable-leadership
- Mobile for Development: Proving the power of mobile in emerging markets
  www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment
- The mobile economy: The state of the mobile economy worldwide
  www.gsma.com/mobileeconomy
- Public policy for mobile, including the mobile policy handbook
  www.gsma.com/publicpolicy

**Voluntary frameworks:**

- UN Sustainable Development Goals
  www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/
- UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- International Labour Organization’s Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
  mneguidelines.oecd.org
- UN Global Compact
  www.unglobalcompact.org

**Standards and guidance:**

- G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance
  doi.org/10.1787/9789264236882-en
- Accountability – Principles-based standards, including for stakeholder engagement and assurance engagements
  www.accountability.org/standards/

- International Organization for Standardization – Management system standards for quality, environment and safety
  www.iso.org/management-system-standards.html
- Global Reporting Initiative – Global standards for sustainability reporting
  www.globalreporting.org
- CDP – Disclosure programme on climate, water and forest impacts
  www.cdp.net
- International Integrated Reporting Council – Framework for integrated financial and non-financial reporting
  www.integratedreporting.org
- International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE) 3000 Revised
  www.ifac.org/publications-resources
For more information please visit the GSMA website at
https://www.gsma.com/betterfuture/sustainable-leadership